

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/007,618	11/07/2001	Neil S. Casa	5300-17	4190	
7590 01/11/2006			EXAMINER		
Thomas Langer, Esq.			TRAN, THUY VAN		
Cohen, Pantani,	Lieberman & Pavane				
551 Fifth Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1210			3652		
New York, NY 10176			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/007,618	CASA, NEIL S.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thuy v. Tran	3652		

	Thuy v. Tran	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TI	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of lowing replies: (1) an amendment, af Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a)	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply origon ter than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 December 2005</u>. of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37 appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any re AMENDMENTS 	(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejectio (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1	,	amaliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · ——	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 22-34. Claim(s) objected to: 8 and 9. Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,12-15 and 17-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10.11.16.30.31 	rovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 10,11,16,20,21 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>and 35-57</u> .		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily.	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears ary and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST_FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tion of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered	but does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper		· •
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		lale	16

EILEEN D. LILLIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600
Part of Paper No. 12302005

Continuation of 3. NOTE: at least newly added limitation "during at least one of the alternating pressurization and depressurization" in claim 13 would require further consideration.